Case 1:07-cv-07818-WHP

Document 12

Filed 11/15/20

NOV 0 9 2007

THE LAW FIRM OF

JEFFREY S. DWECK, P.C.

100 West 33<sup>rd</sup> Street • Suite 1013

New York, New York 10001

DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: IIIS 07

Fax: (212) 502-2010

(212) 502-6150

Email: Jeffrey@dweckny.com

Jeffrey S. Dweck, Esq.\*

Of Counsel: Jack A. Aini, Esq.

\* Also admitted in New Jersey.

Via Hand Delivery
Honorable William H. Pauley, III
United States District Court
for the Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street - Room 2210
New York, New York 10007

November 8, 2007

Application granted. Apre-motion conference will be held concurrently with the initival conference on 12/21/07 at 12:15 p.m.

SO ORDERED:

WILLIAM H. PAULEY III U.S.D.J.

Re:

Famous Horse Inc. dba V.I.M. vs. 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue Photo Inc., et al.

Case No. 07 CV 7818

**Request for Pre-Motion Conference** 

Dear Judge Pauley:

We represent the defendants in this recently-commenced action. We are writing to request (a) a pre-motion conference for the making of a Rule 12(b) Motion to Dismiss, and (b) an extension of time to answer or respond to the complaint through a date to be determined at such conference.

Simply put, this is a case under the Uniform Commercial Code ("UCC") whereby a retailer in New York is suing a wholesaler (or middleman distributor) in New York for selling it allegedly counterfeit goods (allegedly bearing the trademark "Rocawear"). The retailer seeks a refund of its purchase price together with payment of expenses relating to a claim by Rocawear that the goods were counterfeit.

## Procedure to Date

The attorneys have been amiable through the pleadings phase and the parties have agreed upon November 14, 2007 as the date for the defendants to move or answer with respect to the Complaint (in part as a professional courtesy and in part in exchange for defendant's counsel accepting service of process).

## Grounds for a Rule 12(b) Motion

1. This case belongs in state court. While framed as a Lanham Act claim because the goods at issue were allegedly counterfeit or trademark infringements, it is not the trademark holder suing as plaintiff, but a business looking to enforce its rights under the UCC.

Judge William H. Pauley November 8, 2007 Page - 2 -

2. This case involves claims made against individuals in their personal capacity. To the extent the case is not dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, the individual defendants would move for dismissal for failure to state a claim.

Thus, we respectfully ask that the Court set a time and date for a pre-motion conference and that, as soon as practicable, the Court extend the defendant's time to answer or respond to the Complaint until after such pre-motion conference.

Very truly yours.

THE LAW FIRM OF JEFFREY S. DWECK, P.C.

cc: Feldman Law Group, P.C.

12 East 41<sup>st</sup> Street

New York, New York 10017

Attention: I

Kenneth Feldman, Esq.

(Via Fax 212-532-8598 and Email (ken@feldman-law.com))